



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/659,261	09/11/2000	Nimrod Megiddo	ARC9-2000-0081-US1	5596	
7590 04/05/2004			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
Fitch, Even, Tabin & Flanery			PARDO, THUY N		
Suite 1600 120 LaSalle Stre	eet		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Chicago, 1L 60603-3406			2175		
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004	12	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\$

r					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/659,261	MEGIDDO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thuy Pardo	2175			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statufun Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed  by (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 I	March 2004.				
	is action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the	er.  cepted or b) objected to	•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  Its have been received in A  Drity documents have been  But (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 09/659,261 Page 2

Art Unit: 2175

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over **Stern et al.** (Hereinafter "Stern") US Patent Application No. 2002/0052928, in view of **Mills** US Patent No. 6,466,940.

As to claim 1, Stern teaches a method of providing links to remotely located information in a network of remotely connected computers [see the abstract and fig. 1], said method comprising the steps of

- a) associating a shorthand link to each of a plurality of uniform resource locators (URLs) [internal link as function of keywords and an unique identifier for the Web site, 0055, 0057-0067 on page 3];
- b) logging associated shorthand links in a registry database [a links-to-visit table, see the abstract; a list of internal links and selecting from remaining internal links as function of keywords, 0055 of page 3];

Application/Control Number: 09/659,261 Page 3

Art Unit: 2175

d) for each found said shorthand link, fetching said associated URL [the target URL associated with a link, 0029 of page 2].

However, Stern does not explicitly teach searching said registry database for a shorthand link associated with an URL responsive to selection of said shorthand link. Mills teaches searching said registry database for a shorthand link associated with an URL responsive to selection of said shorthand link [ab; col. 9, lines 40 to col. 10, lines 33].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention to have modified Stern's system wherein the internal links of a Web site are collected and recorded in a links-to-visit table provided thereof would have incorporated the teachings of Mills especially the methodology of searching a database for a shorthand link (or web pages) associated with an URL; the motivation being to expand and enhance the versatility of Stern's system by allowing a user to search one or more of the addresses of the document retrieved from the search engines.

As to claim 2, Stern and Mills teach the invention substantially as claimed. Stern further teaches

- I) requesting registration of a URL [table "links to visit", 16 of fig. 3]:
- ii) selecting an unused key [inherent in the system]: and
- iii) pairing said selected key with said URL as a shorthand link [the target URL associated with a link, 0029 of page 2]..

As to claim 3, Stern and Mills teach the invention substantially as claimed. Stern further teaches that each key-URL, pair is entered in the registry database [16 of fig. 3].

Application/Control Number: 09/659,261

Art Unit: 2175

Page 4

As to claim 4, Stern and Mills teach the invention substantially as claimed. Stern further

teaches that said fetched associated URL is presented to a requestor, said requestor having

selected said shorthand link [0029 of pages 1 and 2].

As to claim 5, Stern and Mills teach the invention substantially as claimed. Stern further

teaches said fetched associated URL is presented to a requestor, said requestor having provided

the paired key of the key URL pair [0029 of pages 1, 2; 16 of fig. 3].

As to claim 6, Stern and Mills teach the invention substantially as claimed. Stern further

teaches that an error message is returned whenever a requestor provides a key not paired with a

URL [0099-0106 of page 5].

As to claim 7, Stern and Mills teach the invention substantially as claimed. Stern further

teaches that when a provided key not associated with a URL is identified as corresponding to a

key in a key-URL pair, presenting the identified URL to said requester [unique identifiers or web

site signatures, see the abstract].

As to claims 8-13, all limitations of these claims have been rejected in the analysis of

claims 1-7 above, and these claims have been rejected on that basis.

As to claims 14-26, Stern and Mills teach the invention substantially as claimed as

specified in claims 1-13 above, with the exception of computer readable program code means.

However, since the method is processed in the computer system, the feature of having a

computer readable program code means is inherently in the system in order to perform such

functions and convert information from one form to another.

Application/Control Number: 09/659,261

Page 5

Art Unit: 2175

1. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuy Pardo, whose telephone number is (703) 305-1091. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici, can be reached at (703) 305-3830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

(703) 872-9306 (Official Communication) and/or:

(703) 746-5616 (Use this Fax#, only after approval by Examiner, for "INFORMAL" or "Draft" communication. Examiner may request that a formal/amendment be faxed directly to then on occasions).

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

## 2. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 308-5359, (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Art Unit: 2175

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Thuy Pardo April 01, 2004